Should features be designed as intelligent agents?

- No, if incomplete requirements (often!)
 - "read the user's mind"??
- **Yes**, if missing requirements can be derived from specified higher principles (by, e.g., **negotiation**)
 - o . . . and if we can afford intelligence & negotiation

Is this suitable for critical components?

No!

- features: non-monotonic changes
- new features easily violate (implicit) critical assumptions
- o agents: dependable remedy??

Are non-monotonic revisions a recipe for software desasters?

- Yes, if done in an uncontrolled way
 - "spaghetti code"
- No, if information hiding principle is observed
 - see "families of programs"

Panel on Features 3

Does the world need features?

- Yes, necessary for ad-hoc solutions
- in the long run: convert to family of programs

How many lives have been lost by feature interactions?

• Quite some.

How many lives have been saved by FI detection & resolution?

None?